



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,338	09/26/2003	Masatoshi Yamada	117336	5128
25944	7590	03/18/2008	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MORRISON, THOMAS A	
P.O. BOX 320850				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,338	YAMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THOMAS A. MORRISON. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. Patrick Muffo (Reg. No. 60,342). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3/12/2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: The Jang et al. patent.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the amendment filed on 2/29/2008 and explained how the amendments overcome the prior art of record. The amendments to claims 1 and 9 appear to overcome the prior art of record. However, the examiner would like to check with the primary examiner before rendering a final decision. The examiner will call back Mr. Muffo today and discuss the case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick Mackey/

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.